

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,007	03/31/2004	Tetsuya Morokawa	251308US2TTCX	5236
22859 7599 04/14/2099 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			LARYEA, LAWRENCE N	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3768		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/813.007 MOROKAWA ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 3768 Lawrence Laryea All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Eric F. Winakur. (3) Joseph Wrkich. (2) Lawrence Laryea. (4)Masahito Sato. Date of Interview: 08 April 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: ____ Claim(s) discussed: proposed claim 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Kubota (USPN 5.255.669), Chapelon (USPN 6.017.238), Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. a) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed proposed amendments to claim 1 and compared the amended claim with the art of record. Examiners indicated that the amendments appeared to distinguish over the applied references, but that an updated search would be required when the formal response was filed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

|/Eric F Wingkur/
| Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3768 | U.S. Patent and Trademax Office | U.S. Patent and Trademax Office | Paper No. 20090408 | Paper No. 200